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В рамках теории Флорета рассмотрена задача устойчивости сжатого неоднородного стержня с периодическими опорами. Частный случай однородного стержня с равномерно распределенными опорами изучен; области устойчивости сжатой балки определены аналитически.

Introduction. Problems of vibrations and stability of beams (plates, shells) and beam structures are very similar. Mathematically, in many cases they lead to the same eigenvalue boundary problems. However, there are cases where the analogy breaks down. A great number of papers is devoted to the analysis of wave propagation in periodic structures that consist of a number of elastic structural different elements (commonly with large contrast in elastic properties and densities) joined together in periodic manner to form the whole structure. [1–9]. Interest to these problems is due to the existence of complete elastic band gaps within which all vibrations are forbidden.

The gap band structure of flexural bending wave in the periodic beam and beam on elastic foundation are studied in [5-7]. Propagation of bending waves in a homogeneous beam with periodically interfaces of incomplete elastic contacts is considered in [8,9]. What is an analogy with the stability problems of such beams and what is the difference? It is clear that the problem of stability of an infinitely long, non-uniform beam does not...
make sense. The problem of stability of a beam with periodically inhomogeneous periodic structure of supports should be similar to the vibration problem of the same beam.

1. **Statement of the problem.** We consider an infinite one-dimensional periodically composed beam with the unit cell of a period \( d = l_1 + l_2 \) consisting of two piecewise-homogeneous parts having different bending rigidities. The joints between beam different parts are simply supported ones. (Fig 1.) The beam is compressed by the axial force \( P \) applied at beam infinity edges.

![Fig. 1. Piecewise compressed beam with periodic supports](image)

The beam stability equations can be written as [10,11]

\[
d^4 w_i + \alpha_i^2 \frac{d^2 w_i}{dx^2} = 0, \quad \alpha_i^2 = \frac{P}{E_i J_i}
\] (1.1)

where superscripts \( i = 1, 2 \) show that the functions belong to beam unit parts \( 0 < x \leq l_1 \) and \(-l_2 \leq x < 0\), respectively. \( w_i(x) \) are the transverse displacements, \( P \) is the axial compressive force applied at the infinity edges of the compound beam structure, \( E_i J_i \) – are the bending rigidities of unit beam piecewise-homogeneous parts.

The following contact conditions at point \( x = 0 \) should be satisfied

\[
w_1 = w_2 = 0, \quad \frac{dw_1}{dx} = \frac{dw_2}{dx}, \quad \frac{d^2 w_1}{dx^2} = \beta \frac{d^2 w_2}{dx^2},
\] (1.2)

Here \( \beta = \sqrt{\frac{(E_2 J_2)}{(E_1 J_1)}} \).

By analogy with the vibration problems of a periodic inhomogeneous beam the Floquet boundary conditions of quasi-periodicity can be taken as

\[
w_i(l_1) = 0, \quad w_i(-l_2) = 0, \quad \left. \kappa \frac{dw_i}{dx} \right|_{x=l_1} = \left. \frac{dw_i}{dx} \right|_{x=-l_2}, \quad \left. \kappa \frac{d^2 w_i}{dx^2} \right|_{x=l_1} = \beta \left. \frac{d^2 w_i}{dx^2} \right|_{x=-l_2}
\] (1.3)
\[ \lambda = \exp \left[ i k \left( l_1 + l_2 \right) \right], \quad k \text{ is the Floquet number.} \]

2. Solution of the problem. Solution of eq. (1.1) has the form

\[ w_i(x) = A_i + B_i x + C_i \sin(\alpha_i x) + D_i \cos(\alpha_i x); \quad i = 1; 2 \] (2.1)

where \( A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i \) are arbitrary constants,

Substituting these solutions into the contact conditions (1.2) and Floquet quasi-periodicity boundary conditions (1.3) a simultaneous set of equations with respect to the arbitrary \( A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i \) are obtained. Equating the determinant of the simultaneous set of equations to zero will produce the equation

\[ F(P) \cos \left[ k \left( l_1 + l_2 \right) \right] - S(P) = 0, \] (2.2)

where

\[
S(P) = l_1 l_2 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \beta^2 \cos(l_1 \alpha_1) \cos(l_2 \alpha_2) + l_1 \alpha_1^3 \sin(l_1 \alpha_1) + l_2 \alpha_2^3 \beta^4 \sin(l_2 \alpha_2) - \\
- \left( l_1 \alpha_1^2 + l_2 \alpha_2^2 \beta^2 \right) \left[ \alpha_1 \cos(l_2 \alpha_2) \sin(l_1 \alpha_1) + \alpha_2 \beta^2 \cos(l_1 \alpha_1) \sin(l_2 \alpha_2) \right] + \\
+ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta^2 \left( - l_1 l_2 \left( \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \beta^4 \right) \right) \sin(l_1 \alpha_1) \sin(l_2 \alpha_2) \] (2.3)

\[ F(P) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta^2 \left[ l_1 \alpha_1 - \sin(l_1 \alpha_1) \right] \left[ l_2 \alpha_2 - \sin(l_2 \alpha_2) \right] \]

For given values of the axial force \( P \) Eq. (2.2) determines the Floquet number \( k \). The regions of \( P \) where

\[ \left| \cos \left[ k \left( l_1 + l_2 \right) \right] \right| > 1 \] (values of \( k \) are complex) correspond to beam stability regions.

The regions of \( P \) where \( \left| \cos \left[ k \left( l_1 + l_2 \right) \right] \right| \leq 1 \) (values of \( k \) are real) correspond to beam instability regions.

3. Special cases of a beam and supports structure. Let us now consider the homogeneous beam of the uniform span of periodic supports

\[ l_1 = l_2 = l, \quad E_i J_1 = E_2 J_2, \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha \] (3.1)

In this case instead of eq. (2.2) we have
\[
\cos(2kl) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\alpha^2l^2)\cos(2\alpha l) + 4\alpha l \cdot \sin(\alpha l) - 4\alpha l \cdot \sin(2\alpha l)}{2(\sin(\alpha l) - \alpha l)^2}
\]  
(3.2)

which can be transformed to the following equation

\[
\cos^2(\alpha l) = F^2(\alpha l)
\]

\[
F(\alpha l) = \frac{\alpha l \cdot \cos(\alpha l) - \sin(\alpha l)}{\alpha l - \sin(\alpha l)}
\]  
(3.3)

Since for any values of \( \alpha l \) the function \( F(\alpha l) \leq 1 \) and \( F(0) = -2 \), the regions of \( \alpha l \) where \( F(\alpha l) < -1 \) \( \big( F^2(\alpha l) > 1 \big) \) will correspond to beam stability regions, for all values of \( \alpha l \) outside of these regions the beam becomes unstable.

The boundaries of stability and instability regions are determined from equation

\[
F(\alpha l) = -1
\]  
(3.4)

Equation (3.4) can be transformed into

\[
\cos(\alpha l/2) \cdot (\alpha l \cdot \cos(\alpha l/2) - 2 \cdot \sin(\alpha l/2)) = 0
\]  
(3.5)

from which follows the simultaneous set of equations

\[
\cos(\alpha l/2) = 0, \quad \tan(\alpha l/2) = \alpha l/2
\]  
(3.6)

The roots of the equations (3.6)

\[
(\alpha l)^{(1)}_n = (2n - 1)\pi;
(\alpha l)^{(2)}_0 = 0, (\alpha l)^{(2)}_n = (2n + 1)\pi - \epsilon_n, \quad n = 1; 2, ....
\]  
(3.7)

define the boundaries of beam stability (instability) regions.

In (3.7) \( \epsilon_n \ll \pi, \quad \epsilon_{n+1} < \epsilon_n, \quad \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon_1 = 0.4417 \)

Thus, the stability intervals of axial force \( P(\alpha l) \) can be found as

\[
\alpha l \in (0, \pi) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + 1)\pi - \epsilon_n, (2n + 1)\pi,
\]  
(3.8)

In these intervals equation (3.3) has no roots corresponding to real Floquet number \( k \).

It is worth to note that the lengths of second and consequent intervals are very small, practically reach to zero for \( n \geq 5 \).

The values of \( \alpha l \) which do not belong to (3.8) intervals correspond to critical values of axial force \( P \) under which the beam structure is unstable.
Let us now consider the beam structure when the rigidities of materials of periodic parts are significantly different. When the beam parts with index \( i = 2 \) are substantially rigid as compared with other parts with index \( i = 1 \), taking in (2.3) \( \sin (l_2 \alpha_2) \approx l_2 \alpha_2, \cos (l_2 \alpha_2) \approx 1 \) we come to the equation which does not depend from Floquet number \( k \)

\[
2(\cos(\alpha_i l_i) - 1) + \alpha_i l_i \cdot \sin(\alpha_i l_i) = 0
\]

The equation (3.9) is convenient to transform to the following form

\[
\sin(\alpha_i l_i / 2)\left[\alpha_i l_i \cos(\alpha_i l_i / 2) - 2\alpha_i l_i \cdot \sin(\alpha_i l_i / 2)\right] = 0
\]

From (3.10) it follows that minimal critical value \( \left(\alpha_i l_i\right)^* \) is the first root of the equation

\[
\tan\left(\frac{\alpha_i l_i}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{\alpha_i l_i} ;
\]

\[
(\alpha_i l_i)^* = 1.721
\]

4. Conclusion

In the framework of the Floquet theory the analog set between stability and vibration problems of inhomogeneous elastic beam periodic structure. The infinite compressed beam is considered consisting with periodic piecewise-homogeneous parts of different bending rigidities. The periodic joints between beam different parts are simply supported ones. The equation relate to Floquet number and compressed force is obtained which enables to define the stability and instability regions of the compressed force values. The special case of homogeneous compressed beam with periodic supports of uniform span is discussed in detail. The beam stability and instability regions of the compressed force are determined analytically. The minimal value of critical force is defined for beam when the rigidities of materials of periodic parts are significantly different.
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